

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,840	08/31/2001	James Cooper	088305-0137	8557
7:	590 05/17/2004		EXAMINER	
William T. Ellis			PESIN, BORIS M	
FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500			2174	
Washington, DC 20007-5109			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_
9/	
- a	
,	

			_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti-en Community	09/942,840	COOPER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Boris Pesin	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	·-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
,	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the state of the state o	·	· A	its is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/31/2001</u> is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	le
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because Figure 2, Element 140a has a label "Viewlet 4.1". It appears that the correct label should have been Viewlet 1.1. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

There seems to be a grammatical error in the sentence "validating user selection of viewlets based one of a user and the applications."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3, 5, 12, 14, 21, and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

Art Unit: 2174

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In regards to claim 3, 12, and 21 the detailed specifications does not mention interactively providing suggestions to the user based on the applications selected by the user; and validating user selection of viewlets.

In regards to claim 5, 14, and 23 the detailed specification does not mention interactively providing suggestions to the user based on a role associated with the user.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Huang et al. (US 6571245).

In regards to claim 1, Huang teaches a computer implemented method of automatically generating and rendering a custom view including at least two viewlets from two different applications, the method comprising: receiving a defined activity sequence from a user (i.e. "Within a virtual desktop 1110, a customize icon 1112 is

Art Unit: 2174

provided that includes the tools available to assist the user in customizing the desktop" Column 14, Line 16), wherein the activity sequence comprises at least two viewlets (i.e. "Window 1130 includes, for example, an icon listing 1132, an item description listing 1134, and a query box 1136." Column 14, Line 40) from two applications (i.e. "the virtual desktop can include objects associated with word processing, spreadsheet, e-mail, and other applications." Column 14, Line 30), respectively; associating the received activity sequence with the user (i.e. "The virtual desktop can be customized in accordance with the needs and preferences of the user." Column 14, Line 6); storing the activity sequence and associated user as a user context in a data store (i.e. "The user information is maintained in a data record that is stored in the file server." Column 2, Line 53); and rendering a custom view to the user based on the stored user context (i.e. "The virtual desktop can be customized in accordance with the needs and preferences of the user." Column 14, Line 6).

In regards to claim 2, Huang teaches a computer implemented method, wherein the step of receiving the activity sequence includes: providing the user with a selection of available applications (i.e. "the virtual desktop can include objects associated with word processing, spreadsheet, e-mail, and other applications." Column 14, Line 30); receiving user selections of applications (i.e. "The user can create, arrange, or delete objects within the desktop as necessary." Column 14, Line 27); providing the user with a list of viewlets for each of the applications selected by the user (i.e. "Window 1130 includes, for example, an icon listing 1132, an item description listing 1134, and a query box 1136." Column 14, Line 40); and receiving the user selection of viewlets

Art Unit: 2174

corresponding to the user's selection of applications (i.e. "The user selects an icon to be associated with the selected object. Subsequently, the user is able to activate the object (i.e., launch an application) by clicking on the icon." Column 14, Line 45).

In regards to claim 6, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. He further teaches a computer implemented method according wherein the step of storing the activity sequence as a user context includes storing information related to the user's login to the two applications (i.e. "The first level of security is provided by the use of a secured login process. During the login process, a login window 1210 appears on the web page of the URL site server. Login window 1210 includes fields for the user identification and the user password. The login information is entered by the user and transmitted to the site server where it is compared with the information in a login database." Column 14, Line 66 – Column 15, Line 5).

In regards to claim 7, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. He further teaches a computer implemented method wherein the information related to the user's login to the two applications comprises an access control list (i.e. "The first level of security is provided by the use of a secured login process. During the login process, a login window 1210 appears on the web page of the URL site server. Login window 1210 includes fields for the user identification and the user password. The login information is entered by the user and transmitted to the site server where it is compared with the information in a login database." Column 14, Line 66 – Column 15, Line 5).

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 8, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. He further teaches a computer implemented method, wherein the step of rendering a custom view includes: retrieving the user context for the user (i.e. "A site server initially receives a URL access from a user at a local system. After a successful login, a personal web page of the user is retrieved from a file server and returned to the local system." Column 2, Line 25); extracting viewlets from applications based on user context (i.e. "The web page represents the virtual desktop of the user and includes links for applications available to the user and files accessible by the user. The web page can also include links to personal information of the user." Column 2, Line 30); and generating the custom view using the extracted viewlets and a device context corresponding to the device used for rendering to the user (i.e. "The web page represents the virtual desktop of the user and includes links for applications available to the user and files accessible by the user. The web page can also include links to personal information of the user." Column 2, Line 30).

In regards to claim 9, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 6. He further teaches a computer implemented method, wherein the step of rendering a custom view further includes: retrieving the user context for the user (i.e. "A site server initially receives a URL access from a user at a local system. After a successful login, a personal web page of the user is retrieved from a file server and returned to the local system." Column 2, Line 25); logging into the two applications based on information related to the user's login to the two applications stored with the user context (i.e. "A site server initially receives a URL access from a user at a local system. After a successful

Art Unit: 2174

login, a personal web page of the user is retrieved from a file server and returned to the local system." Column 2, Line 25); upon successful logging in, extracting viewlets from the applications based on retrieved user context (i.e. "The web page represents the virtual desktop of the user and includes links for applications available to the user and files accessible by the user. The web page can also include links to personal information of the user." Column 2, Line 30); and generating the custom view for rendering using the extracted viewlets and a device context corresponding to the device used for rendering to the user (i.e. "The web page represents the virtual desktop of the user and includes links for applications available to the user and files accessible by the user. The web page can also include links to personal information of the user." Column 2, Line 30).

Claim 10 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 11 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 15 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 16 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 17 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 18 is in the same context as claim 9; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 19 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 20 is in the same context as claim 2; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 24 is in the same context as claim 6; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 25 is in the same context as claim 7; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 26 is in the same context as claim 8; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 27 is in the same context as claim 9; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 28 is in the same context as claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2174

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 5, 12, 14, 21, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (US 6571245) in view of Magallanes et al. (US 5925103).

In regards to claim 3, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 2. Huang further teaches validating user selection of viewlets (i.e. "The user selects an icon to be associated with the selected object. Subsequently, the user is able to activate the object (i.e., launch an application) [and hence validate it] by clicking on the icon."

Column 14, Line 45). Huang does not teach a method wherein the step of receiving the activity sequence includes interactively providing suggestions to the user. Magallanes teaches "The internet access server can keep track both for accounting purposes the games "rented" by downloading but also can keep track of the games for the purposes of target marketing of new or improved games by providing a suggestion of new or updated games for the user to play." Column 12, Line 29). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang with the



Art Unit: 2174

teachings of Magallanes and include a method for providing suggestions with the motivation to give the user choices in order to make the decision easier for the user.

In regards to claim 5, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. He does not teach a computer implemented method wherein the step of receiving the defined activity sequence for a user includes interactively providing suggestions to the user based on a role associated with the user. Magallanes teaches "The internet access server can keep track both for accounting purposes the games "rented" by downloading but also can keep track of the games for the purposes of target marketing of new or improved games by providing a suggestion of new or updated games for the user to play."

Column 12, Line 29). By keeping track of the games, Magallanes creates a profile [i.e. role] of the user and is therefore able to send suggestions based on this profile. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang with the teachings of Magallanes and include a method for providing suggestions with the motivation to give the user choices in order to make the decision easier for the user.

Claim 12 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 14 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 21 is in the same context as claim 3; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 23 is in the same context as claim 5; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 4, 13, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (US 6571245) in view of McGlothlin et al. (US 6512526).

In regards to claim 4, Huang teaches all the limitations of claim 1. Huang does not teach the computer implemented method, wherein the step of receiving the defined activity sequence for a user includes defining the activity sequence based on a role associated with the user. McGlothlin teaches, "The configuration files 220 maintain a profile for each user, for example, under HK_current_user, which includes the details of the desktop layout 210 for the particular user." Column 7, Line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Huang with the teachings of McGlothlin and include a method to define the activity sequence based on the user's profile with the motivation to give the user a more appropriate layout.

Claim 13 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 22 is in the same context as claim 4; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (703) 305-8774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (703) 308-0640. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bristine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 12